

## REMARKS

In response to the Office action identified above, please accept the following remarks.

5

### Examiner:

10

Claims 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

15

Dependent claim 11 is inconsistent with independent claim 1, on which it depends. Specifically, independent claim 1 recites: "Scribe lines for increasing a utilizable area on the wafer, the wafer comprising a plurality of dies in various shapes," but dependent claim 11 inconsistently recites: "The scribe lines of claim 1 wherein the dies are in the same shapes and sizes." Claim 11 should apparently be canceled.

20

Dependent claim 19 is inconsistent with independent claim 14, on which it depends. Specifically, independent claim 14 recites: "Scribe lines on a wafer, the wafer comprising a plurality of dies in various sizes," but dependent claim 19 inconsistently recites: "The scribe lines of claim 14 wherein the dies are in the same shapes and sizes." Claim 19 should apparently be canceled.

### 25 Response:

30

Claims 11 and 19 are canceled as suggested by the examiner. The applicant acknowledges and appreciates prior allowance of claims 1-10, 13-18, 20 and 22-30. If the current amendment is accepted and allowed by the examiner, the applicant believes that the present application is in condition for allowance as required and respectfully requests that the present application be permitted to mature into a patent.

Sincerely yours,

5

Winston Hsu

Date: 4/4/2003

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No.41,526

P.O. BOX 506

Merrifield, VA 22116

10 U.S.A.

e-mail: winstonhsu@naipo.com.tw